

Kan extensions and cartesian monoidal categories

Ross Street*

Mathematics Department, Macquarie University, NSW 2109 Australia
<ross.street@mq.edu.au>

18 September 2012

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18D10; 18D20; 18A40; 18C10

Key words and phrases: Lawvere theory; monoidal category; enriched category; pointwise Kan extension.

Abstract

The existence of adjoints to algebraic functors between categories of models of Lawvere theories follows from finite-product-preservingness surviving left Kan extension. A result along these lines was proved in Appendix 2 of Brian Day's PhD thesis [1]. His context was categories enriched in a cartesian closed base. A generalization is described here with essentially the same proof. We introduce the notion of cartesian monoidal category in the enriched context. With an advanced viewpoint, we give a result about left extension along a promonoidal module and further related results.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Weighted colimits	2
3	Cartesian monoidal enriched categories	3
4	Main result	4

*The author gratefully acknowledges the support of Australian Research Council Discovery Grant DP1094883.

1 Introduction

The pointwise left Kan extension, along any functor between categories with finite products, of a finite-product-preserving functor into a cartesian closed category is finite-product-preserving. This kind of result goes back at least to Bill Lawvere's thesis [8] and some 1966 ETH notes of Fritz Ulmer. Eduardo Dubuc and the author independently provided Saunders Mac Lane with a proof along the lines of the present note at Bowdoin College in the Northern Hemisphere Summer of 1969. Brian Day's thesis [1] gave a generalization to categories enriched in a cartesian closed base. Also see Kelly-Lack [7] and Day-Street [3]. Our purpose here is to remove the restriction on the base and, to some extent, the finite products.

2 Weighted colimits

We work with a monoidal category \mathcal{V} as used in Max Kelly's book [9] as a base for enriched category theory.

Recall that the *colimit* of a \mathcal{V} -functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ weighted by a \mathcal{V} -functor $W : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is an object

$$\text{colim}(W, F) = \text{colim}_A(WA, FA)$$

of \mathcal{X} equipped with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(W, F), X) \cong [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W, \mathcal{X}(F, X))$$

\mathcal{V} -natural in X .

Independence of naturality in the two variables of two variable naturality, or Fubini's theorem [9], has the following expression in terms of weighted colimits.

Nugget 1. *For \mathcal{V} -functors*

$$W_1 : \mathcal{A}_1^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, \quad W_2 : \mathcal{A}_2^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}, \quad F : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

if $\text{colim}(W_2, F(A, -))$ exists for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\text{colim}(W_1, \text{colim}(W_2, F)) \cong \text{colim}(W_1 \otimes W_2, F).$$

Here the isomorphism is intended to include the fact that one side exists if and only if the other does. Also $(W_1 \otimes W_2)(A, B) = W_1 A \otimes W_2 B$.

Proof. Here is the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(W_1 \otimes W_2, F), X) &\cong [(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W_1 \otimes W_2, \mathcal{X}(F, X)) \\
&\cong [\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W_1, [\mathcal{A}_2^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W_2, \mathcal{X}(F, X))) \\
&\cong [\mathcal{A}_1^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W_1, \mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(W_2, F), X)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(W_1, \text{colim}(W_2, F)), X) .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Here is an aspect of the calculus of mates expressed in terms of weighted colimits. Note that $S \dashv T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ means $T^{\text{op}} \dashv S^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$.

Nugget 2. For \mathcal{V} -functors $W : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and a \mathcal{V} -adjunction

$$S \dashv T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

there is an isomorphism

$$\text{colim}(WS^{\text{op}}, G) \cong \text{colim}(W, GT) .$$

Proof. Here is the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(W, GT), X) &\cong [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W, \mathcal{X}(GT, X)) \\
&\cong [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](W, \mathcal{X}(G, X)T^{\text{op}}) \\
&\cong [\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}](WS^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}(G, X)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{X}(\text{colim}(WS^{\text{op}}, G), X) .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that a *pointwise left Kan extension* of a \mathcal{V} -functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ along a \mathcal{V} -functor $J : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a \mathcal{V} -functor $K = \text{Lan}_J(F) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that there is a \mathcal{V} -natural isomorphism

$$KB \cong \text{colim}_A(\mathcal{B}(JA, B), FA) .$$

3 Cartesian monoidal enriched categories

A monoidal \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{A} will be called *cartesian* when the tensor product and unit object have left adjoints. That is, \mathcal{A} is a map pseudomonoid in the monoidal 2-category $\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{\text{co}}$ in the sense of [5].

Let us denote the tensor product of \mathcal{A} by $- \star - : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with left adjoint $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ and the unit by $N : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with left adjoint $E : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. (Here \mathcal{I} is the unit \mathcal{V} -category: it has one object 0 and $\mathcal{I}(0, 0) = I$.) It is clear that these right adjoints make \mathcal{A} a comonoidal \mathcal{V} -category; that is, a pseudomonoid

in $\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat}^{\text{op}}$. Since $\text{ob} : \mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is monoidal, we see that $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is given by the diagonal on objects. We have

$$\mathcal{A}(A, A_1 \star A_2) \cong \mathcal{A}(A, A_1) \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, A_2) ,$$

where \mathcal{V} -functoriality in A on the right-hand side uses Δ .

If \mathcal{A} is cartesian, the \mathcal{V} -functor category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$ becomes monoidal under convolution using the comonoidal structure on \mathcal{A} . This is a pointwise tensor product in the sense that, on objects, it is defined by:

$$(M * N)A = MA \otimes NA .$$

On morphisms it requires the use of Δ . Indeed, the Yoneda embedding

$$Y : \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

is strong monoidal.

4 Main result

Theorem 3. *Suppose $J : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a \mathcal{V} -functor between cartesian monoidal \mathcal{V} -categories. Assume also that J is strong comonoidal. Suppose \mathcal{X} is a monoidal \mathcal{V} -category such that each of the \mathcal{V} -functors $-\otimes X$ and $X\otimes-$ preserves colimits. Assume the \mathcal{V} -functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strong monoidal. If the pointwise left Kan extension $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of F along J exists then K too is strong monoidal.*

Proof. Using that tensor in \mathcal{X} preserves colimits in each variable, the Fubini Theorem 1, that F is strong monoidal, Theorem 2 with the cartesian property of \mathcal{A} , and the cartesian property of \mathcal{B} , we have the calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} KB_1 \otimes KB_2 &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1}(\mathcal{B}(JA_1, B_1), FA_1) \otimes \text{colim}_{A_2}(\mathcal{B}(JA_2, B_2), FA_2) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1, A_2}(\mathcal{B}(JA_1, B_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(JA_2, B_2), FA_1 \otimes FA_2) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1, A_2}(\mathcal{B}(JA_1, B_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(JA_2, B_2), F(A_1 \star A_2)) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_A(\mathcal{B}(JA, B_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(JA, B_2), FA) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_A(\mathcal{B}(JA, B_1 \star B_2), FA) \\ &\cong K(B_1 \star B_2) . \end{aligned}$$

For the unit part, for similar reasons, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} N &\cong FN0 \\ &\cong \text{colim}_0(\mathcal{I}(0, 0), FN0) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_A(\mathcal{I}(EA, 0), FA) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_A(\mathcal{I}(EJA, 0), FA) \\ &\cong KN . \end{aligned}$$

□

5 An advanced viewpoint

In terminology of [4], suppose $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a monoidal pseudofunctor between monoidal bicategories. The main point to stress here is that the constraints

$$\Phi_{A,B} : HA \otimes HB \rightarrow H(A \otimes B)$$

are pseudonatural in A and B . Then we see that H takes pseudomonoids (= monoidales) to pseudomonoids, lax morphisms of pseudomonoids to lax morphisms, oplax morphisms of pseudomonoids to oplax morphisms, and strong morphisms of pseudomonoids to strong morphisms.

In particular, this applies to the monoidal pseudofunctor

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}(-, \mathcal{I}) : \mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-CAT}$$

which takes the \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{A} to the \mathcal{V} -functor \mathcal{V} -category $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$. Now pseudomonoids in $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}}$ are precisely promonoidal (= premonoidal) \mathcal{V} -categories in the sense of Day [1, 2]. Therefore, for each promonoidal \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{A} , we obtain a monoidal \mathcal{V} -category

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{I}) = [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}]$$

which is none other than what is now called Day convolution since it is defined and analysed in [1, 2].

A lax morphism of pseudomonoids in $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}^{\text{op}}$, as written in $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mod}$, is a module $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ equipped with module morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B} \\ K \downarrow & \Leftrightarrow \phi & \downarrow K \otimes K \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{K} & \mathcal{A} \\ & \phi_0 \Rightarrow & \\ J \searrow & & \swarrow J \\ & \mathcal{I} & \end{array}$$

satisfying appropriate conditions. In other words, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{A_1, A_2, B} : \text{colim}_{B_1, B_2}(K(A_1, B_1) \otimes K(A_2, B_2), P(B_1, B_2, B)) \\ \implies \text{colim}_A(K(A, B), P(A_1, A_2, A)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi_{0B} : JB \Rightarrow \text{colim}_A(K(A, B), JA).$$

We call such a K a *promonoidal module*. It is *strong* when ϕ and ϕ_0 are invertible.

We also have the \mathcal{V} -functor

$$\exists_K : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}]$$

defined by

$$(\exists_K)B = \text{colim}_A(K(A, B), FA).$$

By the general considerations on monoidal pseudofunctors, \exists_K is a monoidal \mathcal{V} -functor when $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}$. However, the same calculations needed to show this explicitly show that it works for any monoidal \mathcal{V} -category \mathcal{X} for which each of the tensors $X \otimes -$ and $- \otimes X$ preserves colimits.

Theorem 4. *If $K : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a promonoidal \mathcal{V} -module then $\exists_K : [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}]$ is a monoidal \mathcal{V} -functor. If K is strong promonoidal then \exists_K is strong monoidal.*

Proof. Although the result should be expected from our earlier remarks, here is a direct calculation.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\exists_K F_1 * \exists_K F_2)B &\cong \text{colim}_{B_1, B_2}(P(B_1, B_2, B), (\exists_K F_1)B_1 \otimes (\exists_K F_2)B_2) \\
&\cong \text{colim}_{B_1, B_2}(P(B_1, B_2, B), \text{colim}_{A_1}(K(A_1, B_1), F_1 A_1) \otimes \\
&\quad \text{colim}_{A_2}(K(A_2, B_2), F_2 A_2)) \\
&\cong \text{colim}_{B_1, B_2, A_1, A_2}(K(A_1, B_1) \otimes K(A_2, B_2) \otimes P(B_1, B_2, B), \\
&\quad F_1 A_1 \otimes F_2 A_2) \\
&\implies \text{colim}_{A, A_1, A_2}(K(A, B) \otimes P(A_1, A_2, A), F_1 A_1 \otimes F_2 A_2) \\
&\cong \text{colim}_A(K(A, B), \text{colim}_{A_1, A_2}(P(A_1, A_2, A), F_1 A_1 \otimes F_2 A_2)) \\
&\cong \text{colim}_A(K(A, B), (F_1 * F_2)A)) \\
&\cong \exists_K(F_1 * F_2)B.
\end{aligned}$$

The morphism on the fourth line of the calculation is induced by $\phi_{A_1, A_2, B}$ and so is invertible if K is strong promonoidal. We also have $\phi_{0B} : JB \implies (\exists_K J)B$. \square

For the corollaries now coming, assume as above that \mathcal{X} is a monoidal \mathcal{V} -category such that $X \otimes -$ and $- \otimes X$ preserve existing colimits. Also \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are monoidal \mathcal{V} -categories. The monoidal structure on $[\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}]$ is convolution with respect to the promonoidal structure $\mathcal{A}(A, A_1 \star A_2)$ on \mathcal{A}^{op} ; similarly for $[\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}]$.

Corollary 5. *If $J : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is strong monoidal then so is*

$$\text{Lan}_{J^{\text{op}}} : [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}] .$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 4 to the module $K : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$ defined by $K(A, B) = \mathcal{B}(B, JA)$. We see that K is strong promonoidal using Yoneda twice and strong monoidalness of J . \square

Corollary 6. *If $W : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is strong monoidal then so is*

$$\text{colim}(W, -) : [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{X}] \rightarrow \mathcal{X} .$$

Proof. Take $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{I}$ in Theorem 4. \square

Corollary 7. Suppose \mathcal{A} is cartesian monoidal. If $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strong monoidal then so is

$$\text{colim}(-, F) : [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. Here is the calculation for binary tensoring:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}(W_1 \otimes W_2, F) &\cong \text{colim}_A((W_1 \otimes W_2)\Delta A, FA) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1, A_2}(W_1 A_1 \otimes W_2 A_2, F(A_1 \star A_2)) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1, A_2}(W_1 A_1 \otimes W_2 A_2, FA_1 \otimes FA_2)) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{A_1}(W_1 A_1, FA_1) \otimes \text{colim}_{A_2}(W_2 A_2, FA_2) \\ &\cong \text{colim}(W_1, F) \otimes \text{colim}(W_2, F). \end{aligned}$$

The unit preservation is easier. \square

Corollary 8. Suppose \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are cartesian monoidal and $J : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is strong comonoidal. If $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strong monoidal then so is

$$\text{Lan}_J F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. Notice that $\text{Lan}_J F$ is the composite of $\mathcal{B}(J, 1) : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}]$ and $\text{colim}(-, F) : [\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. The first is strong monoidal by hypothesis on J . The second is strong monoidal by Corollary 7. \square

References

- [1] Brian J. Day, *Construction of Biclosed Categories* (PhD Thesis, UNSW, 1970) <<http://www.math.mq.edu.au/~street/DayPhD.pdf>>.
- [2] Brian J. Day, *On closed categories of functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **137** (Springer-Verlag, 1970) 1–38.
- [3] Brian J. Day and Ross Street, *Kan extensions along promonoidal functors*, Theory and Applications of Categories **1**(4) (1995) 72–77.
- [4] Brian J. Day and Ross Street, *Monoidal bicategories and Hopf algebroids*, Advances in Math. **129** (1997) 99–157.
- [5] Brian J. Day, Paddy McCrudden and Ross Street, *Dualizations and antipodes*, Applied Categorical Structures **11** (2003) 229–260.
- [6] Samuel Eilenberg and G. Max Kelly, *Closed categories*, Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, 1965), (Springer-Verlag, 1966) 421–562.

- [7] G. Max Kelly and Stephen Lack, *Finite-product-preserving functors, Kan extensions, and strongly-finitary monads*, Applied Categorical Structures **1**(1) (1993) 84–94.
- [8] F. William Lawvere, *Functorial Semantics of Algebraic Theories and Some Algebraic Problems in the context of Functorial Semantics of Algebraic Theories*, (Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 1963); Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar II (1968) 41–61; Reprints in Theory and Applications of Categories **5** (2004) 1–121.
- [9] G. Max Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **64** (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982).
- [10] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **5** (Springer-Verlag, 1971).